

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/806,840	03/22/2004	Darren R. Sherman	212/369	4148
23371	7590 11/02/2005	•	EXAM	INER
CROCKETT & CROCKETT 24012 CALLE DE LA PLATA			DEMILLE, DANTON D	
SUITE 400	DELAILAIA		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
LAGUNA HII	LLS, CA 92653	•	3764	

DATE MAILED: 11/02/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		TVA		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/806,840	SHERMAN ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Danton DeMille	3764		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING [ - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA .136(a). In no event, however, may a repl d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH te, cause the application to become ABAN	ATION. y be timely filed IS from the mailing date of this communication. IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status		•		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matter			
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin 11.	ccepted or b) objected to by e drawing(s) be held in abeyance ction is required if the drawing(s)	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/06)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2 2 2 2 4	Paper No(s)/	nmary (PTO-413) Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/806,840

Art Unit: 3764

# Page 2

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Double Patenting**

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

- 2. Claims 1-6, 12, 13 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-3 of U.S. Patent No. 6,447,465. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because it would have been obvious to leave out the details of the clutch, for example.
- 3. Claims 1-17 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-40 of U.S. Patent No. 6,709,410. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because it would have been obvious to modify the patent claims to leave out the details of the means for sensing slack take-up.
- 4. Claims 1-6, 12, 13 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-6 of U.S. Patent No. 6,616,620. Although

Application/Control Number: 10/806,840

Art Unit: 3764

the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because it would have been obvious to leave out the details of the drive train.

Page 3

5. Claims 1-6, 12, 13 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-24 of U.S. Patent No. 6,869,408. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because it would have been obvious to leave out the details of the abdominal belt and drive means.

### Specification

6. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

The specification is objected to under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as failing to provide an adequate written description of the invention.

7. There appears to be no support in the specification for the subject matter of claims 4-11. Where is there support for the brake is operable to engage the belt at any point in the movement of the belt or the brake is operable to continuously slow the movement of the belt? There also appears to be no support for the controller to be programmed to determine the threshold of tightness based on the initial condition of the belt when extended around the chest of the patient.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/806,840 Page 4

Art Unit: 3764

9. Claims 1-6, 10-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Lach et al. '164.

- 10. Lach teaches everything including a brake capable of holding the belt tensioning means in a tightened state about the chest. In the line spanning columns 11 and 12 Lach teaches "a suitable electrical holding circuit to cause the electric motor, for example, to stop with the socket 172 in the desired maximum-tautness or maximum-looseness position." This would comprehend the claimed brake.
- Regarding claims 10, 11, 14-17, Lach teaches using indicia 116, 118 on the band 12 to know how far the band has traveled. The band is initially wrapped around the chest of the patient and the indicia is marked, the longitudinal distance traveled is another parameter that is used to determine the amount of pressure applied column 7, line 59- column 8 line 27. This would appear to comprehend the claimed determining the threshold of tightness based on the maximum take-up of the belt and based on the measured change in the size of the patient's chest circumference. Once the belt is placed around the chest of the patient, the indicia marks the initial size of the patient's chest and the distance the belt traveled also determines the change of circumference of the patient's chest.
- 12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Danton DeMille whose telephone number is (571) 272-4974. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th from 8:30 to 6:00. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

Application/Control Number: 10/806,840

Art Unit: 3764

13. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Greg Huson, can be reached on (571) 272-4887. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

14. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

31 October 2005

Danton DeMille Primary Examiner Art Unit 3764 Page 5